

# **GlueX/Hall-D** Physics

Flox Tube forms Letween cf

> Curtis A. Meyer Carnegie Mellon University Jlab Users Meeting, June 2010





- New information from Lattice QCD.
- The experimental situation.
- Status of GlueX/Hall-D.
- Amplitude analysis.

Plax tubo terms between 97

















## Hybrid Decays

The angular momentum in the flux tube stays in one of the daughter mesons (an (L=1) and (L=0) meson). Exotic Quantum Number Hybrids

 $π_1 → πb_1, πf_1, πρ, ηa_1$   $η_1 → π(1300)π, a_1π$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} b_2 \rightarrow a_1 \pi \ , \ h_1 \pi \ , \ \omega \pi \ , \ a_2 \pi \\ h_2 \rightarrow b_1 \pi \ , \ \rho \pi \ , \ \omega \eta \end{array}$ 

 $b_0 \rightarrow \pi (1300) \pi$  ,  $h_1 \pi$   $h_0 \rightarrow b_1 \pi$  ,  $h_1 \eta$ 

Mass and model dependent predictions

Populate final states with π<sup>±</sup>,π<sup>0</sup>,K<sup>±</sup>,K<sup>0</sup>,η, (photons)



## Hybrid Decays

The angular momentum in the flux tube stays in one of the daughter mesons (an (L=1) and (L=0) meson). Exotic Quantum Number Hybrids

 $π_1 → πb_1, πf_1, πρ, ηa_1$   $η_1 → π(1300)π, a_1π$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} b_2 \rightarrow a_1 \pi \ , \ h_1 \pi \ , \ \omega \pi \ , \ a_2 \pi \\ h_2 \rightarrow b_1 \pi \ , \ \rho \pi \ , \ \omega \eta \end{array}$ 

 $b_0 \rightarrow \pi (1300)\pi$  ,  $h_1\pi$  $h_0 \rightarrow b_1\pi$  ,  $h_1\eta$  Mass and model dependent predictions

Populate final states with  $\pi^{\pm},\pi^{0},K^{\pm},K^{0},\eta$ , (photons)

The good channels to look at with amplitude analysis.



## Hybrid Decays

The angular momentum in the flux tube stays in one of the daughter mesons (an (L=1) and (L=0) meson). Exotic Quantum Number Hybrids

 $π_1 → πb_1, πf_1, πρ, ηa_1$   $η_1 → π(1300)π, a_1π$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} b_2 \rightarrow a_1 \pi \ , \ h_1 \pi \ , \ \omega \pi \ , \ a_2 \pi \\ h_2 \rightarrow b_1 \pi \ , \ \rho \pi \ , \ \omega \eta \end{array}$ 

 $b_0 \rightarrow \pi (1300)\pi$  ,  $h_1\pi$  $h_0 \rightarrow b_1\pi$  ,  $h_1\eta$  Mass and model dependent predictions

Populate final states with  $\pi^{\pm},\pi^{0},K^{\pm},K^{0},\eta$ , (photons)

The good channels to look at with amplitude analysis.

Other interesting channels for amplitude analysis.



The most extensive data sets to date are from the **BNL E852 experiment**. There is also data from the **VES experiment** at Protvino and some results from the **Crystal Barrel experiment** at LEAR. Finally, there is a **CLAS (Jefferson Lab)** result. We have Also just started to see results from the **COMPASS** experiment at CERN.

VES:



The most extensive data sets to date are from the **BNL E852 experiment**. There is also data from the **VES experiment** at Protvino and some results from the **Crystal Barrel experiment** at LEAR. Finally, there is a **CLAS (Jefferson Lab)** result. We have Also just started to see results from the **COMPASS** experiment at CERN.



Diffractive production

18 GeV/c  $\pi^- p \to (p, n) X^{(-,0)}$ F852:

37 GeV/c 
$$\pi^- A \rightarrow AX^-$$

COMPASS: 160 GeV/c  $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow PbX^-$ 

$$(\pi^{\pm}p \to pX^{\pm}, pp \to p_s X^0 p_f)$$

M: spin projection  $\mathcal{E}$  : reflectivity

6/7/10

Natural-parity-exchange:  $J^{P}=0^{+},1^{-},2^{+},...$ Unnatural-parity-exchange:  $J^{P}=0^{-},1^{+},2^{-},...$ 



π<sub>1</sub>(1400)

Mode Mass ηπ⁻ ηπ<sup>0</sup>  $1257\pm20\pm25$ 1400 nπ

Width 1370±15+50-30 385±40+65-105 354±64±60 310 seen in

#### **Production**

1+ 1+ annihilation

# $\pi_1(1600)$

Mode Mass 3π 1598 ±8+29-47 n′π  $1597 \pm 10 + 45 - 10$ b₁π  $1664 \pm 8 \pm 10$ f<sub>1</sub>π 1709±24±41  $1660 \pm 10 \pm 64 - 0$ 3π

Width	Production
168±20+150-12 $ar{p}\Lambda$	1+,0-,1-
340±40±50	1+
185±25±38	0- ,1+
403±80±115	1+
269±21+42-64	1+

 $\pi_1(2015)$ 

Mode Mass  $b_1\pi$  2014±20±16 f₁π 2001±30±92

Width  $230 \pm 32 \pm 73$ 332±52±49

#### Production 1+ 1+



	Mode	Mass
(1 4 0 0 )	ηπ⁻	1370±15+50-30
$\pi_1(1400)$	ηπ <sup>0</sup>	1257±20±25
	ηπ	1400

W	idth	Production
385±4	0+65-105	1+
354±	64±60	1+
310	seen in	annihilation

While everyone seems to agree that there is intensity in the P<sup>+</sup> exotic wave, there are a number of alternative (nonresonant) explanations for this state.

Unlikely to be a hybrid based on its mass. Also, the only observed decay should not couple to a member of an SU (3) octet. It could couple to an SU(3) decuplet state (e.g. 4-quark). E852 + CBAR (1997)



π<sub>1</sub>(1600)

Node	Mass
3π	1598 ±8+29-47
η′π	1597±10+45-10
b <sub>1</sub> π	$1664 \pm 8 \pm 10$
$f_1\pi$	1709±24±41
3π	1660 ±10+64-0

Width 168±20+150-12 340±40±50 185±25±38 403+80+115 269+21+42-64

**Production** 1+,0-,1-1+ 0-,1+ 1+ 1+

# $\pi_1(1600)$

Mode Mass 3π\_\_\_\_ 1598 ±8+29-47 η′π 1597±10+45-10 340±40±50 b₁π  $1664 \pm 8 \pm 10$ f<sub>1</sub>π 1709±24±41 403±80±115  $1660 \pm 10 + 64 - 0$ 3π

Width  $168 \pm 20 \pm 150 - 12$ 185±25±38  $269 \pm 21 \pm 42 - 64$ 

**Production** 1+,0-,1-1+ 0-,1+ 1+

1+



6/7/10

JLab Users Group Meeting 2010

1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.2 2.4 Mass of  $\pi \pi \pi^+$  System (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

2

-200

0.6 0.8

1



**π**<sub>1</sub>(2015)

forms between qi

ModeMass $b_1 \pi$ 2014±20±16 $f_1 \pi$ 2001±30±92

Width 230±32±73 332±52±49

Production 1+

1+

π<sub>1</sub>(2015) b

 Mode
 Mass

  $b_1 \pi$  2014±20±16

  $f_1 \pi$  2001±30±92

Width 230±32±73 332±52±49

#### Production 1<sup>+</sup> 1<sup>+</sup>



 $\pi_{1}(2015) \begin{array}{c} \text{Mode} & \text{Mass} \\ b_{1}\pi & 2014\pm20\pm16 \\ f_{1}\pi & 2001\pm30\pm92 \end{array}$ Need two  $J^{PC}=1^{-+}$  states



WidthProduction230±32±731+E852332±52±491+E852

 $π_1(2000) → b_1 π$ M = 2014±20±16 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> Γ = 230±32±73 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>

Seen primarily in natural parity exchange. The natural dominates

Seen in one experiment with low statistics It needs confirmation. If this exists, it is also a good candidate for an exotic hybrid meson.

6/7/10



 $\begin{array}{cccc} \pi, \eta, \eta', K \rightarrow \pi_{1}, \eta_{1}, \eta'_{1}, K_{1} & 1^{-+} \\ & b_{0}, h_{0}, h_{0}', K_{0} & 0^{+-} \\ & b_{2}, h_{2}, h_{2}', K_{2} & 2^{+-} \end{array}$ 

What are the mixing angles between the isoscalar states?







# **Detector Construction Underway**



#### BCAL at Univ. Regina

tube forms between qi



First 4 of 48 modules have been delivered to Jlab.

CDC at CMU









In order to find the exotic QN exotics, it is necessary to carry out an amplitude analysis:

 $\gamma p \to X p \to a_2(1320)\pi p$  $\gamma p \to \pi_2 p \to a_2(1320)\pi p$  $\gamma p o b_1 p o a_2(1320) \pi p$  - J<sup>PC</sup> = 2<sup>-+</sup>, 1<sup>+-</sup>, 3<sup>+-</sup>, ...  $\gamma p \rightarrow b_3 p \rightarrow a_2(1320)\pi p$ 

Different

channels

isospin

 $a_{2}^{+}\pi^{-}p^{-}$ 

 $a_2^-\pi^+p$ 

 $a_{2}^{0}\pi^{+}n$ 

6/7/10

 $a_2^+\pi^0n$ 

Analyze a particular final state

Consider normal meson channels:

Consider exotic meson channels:  $\gamma p \rightarrow b_2 p \rightarrow a_2(1320)\pi p$  ]- J<sup>PC</sup> = 2<sup>+-</sup>

> Different decay modes

JLab Users Group Meeting 2010

 $a_2 \to \rho \pi, \eta \pi$ 





Write down quantum mechanical amplitudes for each process:

 $A_{\alpha}(\vec{p^{\mu}})$ 



(Fitting angular distributions in some high-dimensional space)

 $Probability = \Pi_{events}(I/Normalization)$ 

Maximize the probability (likelihood).

 $-\ln L = -(\sum \ln I) + \ln(Normaliztion)$ events





If one can compute the normalization once, then relatively quick, but this limits the form of the amplitude and may bias your answer. If you wanted to allow the mass and width of a resonance to be fit, you have to recompute the normalization each step.

#### GPUS

The problem appears well suited to run on graphical processor (GPU). The next generation will have up to 512 cpu cores per GPU and four can be installed per box. We are currently studying how well the problem scales, but our first studies have been very promising. These work because there are a lot of repeated parallel calculations.





- We have also developed tools to facilitate the writing of amplitudes (qft++). This has been used to analyze the photoproduction of Baryons using CLAS data.
- GlueX is a member of the OpenScienceGrid (OSG) and we are currently able to generate and process Monte Carlo data on the grid. We believe that this will be a major part of our data model.
- We are working on pushing our A.A. onto the grid, but the recent results with GPUs may change our paradigm.

tube forms between qq



- We are currently carrying out A.A. on several promising channels using simulated data and the full GlueX Monte Carlo and reconstruction code base.
- We are working with phenomenologists to develop better formulations for our amplitudes that satisfy known physical constraints. These are more computationally challenging, but the GPUs may solve this problem.

• Members are performing PWA on CLEO-c, BES-III, E852 and CLAS data.

tube forms between qi



### Summary

- The search for exotic hybrids still remains limited by statistics, and information only exists for isospin-one 1<sup>-+</sup> states.
- Exciting recent lattice results reaffirm the case for these states and provide theoretical methods to measure the gluonic content of states.
- The GlueX/Hall-D complex is under construction with detector elements delivered to Jlab and we are on-track to first beam in 2014.
- Work continues on Amplitude Analysis with a lot of interesting progress. By 2014 we should have a very robust set of tools.

tube forms between qq